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This month, the National People’s Congress of the People’s Republic of China released the second draft of the amendments to the Chinese patent law ("Second Draft Amendments") for public comment.¹ The Second Draft Amendments include provisions that would provide a "patent linkage" system (drawing from the U.S. Hatch-Waxman Act) for the early resolution of patent infringement disputes before competitor drugs may be launched.² The Second Draft Amendments would also provide patent term extensions to compensate for "unreasonable delays" during patent examination and for the time spent during regulatory review.³ The deadline to submit comments to the Second Draft Amendments is August 16, 2020.⁴

The Second Draft Amendments are among the earliest legislative developments as China begins to implement its commitments to enhance intellectual property protection under the economic and trade agreement between the United States and China ("Phase One Trade Agreement") signed on January 15, 2020.⁵ For more information on the pharmaceutical-related intellectual property provisions in the Phase One Trade Agreement, please see our January 23, 2020 article here. This article provides an overview of the pharmaceutical-related provisions in the Second Draft Amendments and potential considerations for drug companies with business interests in China.

I. Efforts to Reform the Chinese Patent Law Before the U.S.-China Phase One Trade Agreement

China is the second largest pharmaceutical market globally.⁶ Numerous global drug companies saw accelerated revenue growth in China in 2019.⁷ In recent years, China has seen explosive growth in new drug development, ranging from innovative antibodies to new cell therapies.⁸ Unsurprisingly, China has witnessed a record increase in the filing and approval of drug applications.⁹ In 2018, for example, the Center for Drug Evaluation of the National Medical Products Administration of China completed review of over 9,000 drug applications and received over 7,000 drug applications (including 457 investigational new drug applications, 157 new drug applications, and 123 biologics applications).¹⁰

Like the U.S. Hatch-Waxman Act, the Chinese patent law provides a safe harbor from a claim of patent infringement if the manufacture, use, or importation of patented drugs or medical devices is made for purposes of providing information needed for regulatory approval in China.¹¹ Unlike the
Hatch-Waxman Act, however, the Chinese patent law does not provide a mechanism for litigating patent infringement disputes before competitor drugs may be launched.\textsuperscript{12} Also in contrast to U.S. law, the Chinese patent law does not compensate for delays in patent grant or for the time spent before the Chinese administrative regulatory authority in obtaining marketing approval of new drugs.\textsuperscript{13}

On January 4, 2019, the National People’s Congress of China released a draft of amendments to the Chinese patent law (“First Draft Amendments”).\textsuperscript{14} The First Draft Amendments did propose patent term extensions to compensate for the time spent in obtaining drug marketing approval, but did not provide a mechanism for the early resolution of drug patent infringement disputes or compensate for delays in patent examination.\textsuperscript{15} The First Draft Amendments have not passed into law.

II. Pharmaceutical-Related Provisions in the Second Draft Amendments to the Chinese Patent Law

Under the U.S.-China Phase One Trade Agreement signed on January 15, 2020, China committed to enhance intellectual property protection.\textsuperscript{16} The Phase One Trade Agreement outlines the general framework of China’s commitments but leaves open many details about implementation.\textsuperscript{17} At least some details seem to be addressed, however, in the Second Draft Amendments.

**Patent Linkage Mechanism for Early Resolution of Drug Patent Disputes**

The Second Draft Amendments to the Chinese patent law would revise the First Draft Amendments released in 2019 to provide a patent linkage system for the early resolution of patent infringement disputes before competitor drugs may be launched in China.\textsuperscript{18} The proposed patent linkage mechanism is consistent with China’s commitments under the Phase One Trade Agreement and draws from the U.S. Hatch-Waxman framework for resolving patent disputes involving small molecule drugs. There are, however, significant differences between the proposed patent linkage mechanism in China and the U.S. Hatch-Waxman system. A comparison of the key differences is in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Provisions</th>
<th>Second Draft Amendments to the Chinese Patent Law\textsuperscript{19}</th>
<th>U.S. Hatch-Waxman Act\textsuperscript{20}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notification process</td>
<td>The Chinese drug administration publishes the filing of drug applications</td>
<td>A generic drug company that submits an abbreviated new drug application (“ANDA”) that includes a challenge to at least one drug patent listed in the Orange Book must send a notice letter to the holder of a new drug application (“NDA holder”) and patent owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline to commence patent infringement actions</td>
<td>Within 30 days of the publication of the filing of the drug application by the Chinese drug administration</td>
<td>Within 45 days of the receipt of a notice letter from an ANDA filer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue for patent infringement actions</td>
<td>People’s Court or patent administration</td>
<td>Federal district court</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Under the Second Draft Amendments, the Chinese drug administration and the Chinese patent administration would formulate detailed guidelines on the patent linkage system, subject to approval by the State Council of China.21

**Patent Term Extension**

Under the Second Draft Amendments, the term of a Chinese patent may be adjusted to compensate for “unreasonable delays” that occur during patent examination.22 To qualify for the adjustment, the patent must be granted more than four years after the Chinese patent application is filed and more than three years after the applicant requests substantive examination in China.23 Any unreasonable delays caused by patent applicants are excluded from the adjustment. 24 The Second Draft Amendments do not define the term “unreasonable delay.”

Like the U.S. patent law, the Second Draft Amendments would provide up to five years of patent term extensions to compensate for the time spent during the regulatory review of a new drug in China, provided that the resulting effective patent term is no more than 14 years from the marketing of the new drug in China.25
III. Practical Considerations Going Forward

As China continues to reform its patent law and implement its commitments to enhance intellectual property protection, drug companies with business interests in China should closely monitor relevant developments, adjust their legal strategies accordingly, and evaluate the potential impact on their business. For example, the availability of patent term extensions may provide incentives to invest in product launches in China. The introduction of a patent linkage system may also fundamentally change how drug companies litigate patent disputes, plan product launches, and manage product lifecycles in China. In 2019, there were a number of at-risk launches of high-value drugs in China. For innovative drug companies, a mechanism that provides a meaningful stay of the marketing approval of competitor drugs pending patent litigation in China could be key in minimizing the risk of this scenario. Interested companies should carefully evaluate their business objectives and consider submitting comments to the Second Draft Amendments by August 16, 2020.

In addition to these developments in patent law, interested companies should also closely monitor the ongoing drug regulatory and judicial reforms relevant for patent litigations in China. With respect to drug regulatory reforms, China has been exploring potential non-patent exclusivity protection for drug test data and has previously released draft regulatory guidelines on this topic. Separately, China has been building an online database of approved drugs and drug patents analogous to the U.S. FDA’s Orange Book. With respect to judicial reforms, since 2014, a number of specialized intellectual property tribunals at the lower trial court level have been established to adjudicate intellectual property cases throughout China. In addition, on January 1, 2019, a specialized Intellectual Property Court of the Supreme People’s Court of China was established to adjudicate appeals on patent and complex technical intellectual property cases nationwide. Staying current on these developments is key to ensuring the best chances of success.

Companies marketing drug products in the U.S. and China should anticipate and be prepared to litigate parallel patent infringement proceedings in both countries. To prepare for parallel litigations, drug companies can look to U.S. Hatch-Waxman cases to help anticipate potential issues that may arise in Chinese litigations. U.S. and Chinese counsel should collaborate early and develop coordinated litigation strategies, as litigation strategies, inventor statements, and outcomes on infringement and validity disputes in one country may impact the other. Counsel should also be mindful of the nuances of the applicable law in both countries, including the considerable differences between the U.S. and Chinese patent law and the legal systems relevant for patent litigations. Companies should also ensure that litigation positions taken in a non-U.S. forum do not adversely impact U.S. litigation, given the different legal standards.
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